

EXHIBIT A

Volume I
Pages: 1-49
Exhibits: 0

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

SUFFOLK, SS.

SUPERIOR COURT DEPARTMENT
OF THE TRIAL COURT

COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS *

Plaintiff *

v. * DOCKET NUMBER 1884CV01808

*

PURDUE PHARMA INC. ET AL *

Defendant *

HEARING

BEFORE THE HONORABLE JANET L. SANDERS

APPEARANCES:

For the Plaintiff:

Office of the Attorney General

One Ashburton Place

Boston, Massachusetts 02108

By: Gillian Feiner, Esq.

Eric M. Gold, Esq.

Sydenham B. Alexander, Esq.

For the Defendant, Landau, Steward & Timney:

Skadden Arps Slate Meagher & Flom

500 Boylston Street

Boston, Massachusetts 02116

By: James Richard Carroll, Esq.

(Appearances Continued)

Boston, Massachusetts

December 21, 2018

Recording produced by digital audio recording system. Transcript
produced by Approved Court Transcriber, Donna Holmes Dominguez

Appearances Continued:

For the Defendant, Purdue:

Dechert LLP

2929 Arch Street

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104

By: Mark Cheffo, Esq.

For the Defendant, Former Purdue:

Choate, Hall & Stewart LLP

Two International Place

Boston, Massachusetts 02110

By: Justin J. Wolosz, Esq.

For the Defendant, Gasdia:

Salvatore & Porter PLLC

By: Julie B. Porter, Esq.

I N D E X

WITNESS	DIRECT	CROSS	REDIRECT	RECROSS
None - Hearing				

P R O C E E D I N G S

(Court called to order.)

COURT OFFICER: Court, all rise.

This Honorable Court's open.

Please be seated.

THE CLERK: Before the Court, Your Honor, Civil Action
2018-1808, Commonwealth of Mass v. Purdue Pharma Inc. et al.

Counsel, identify themselves for the Court and for the
record, please.

MS. FEINER: Good morning, Your Honor.

Jillian Feiner for the Commonwealth, and with me is Eric
Gold and Sandy Alexander.

THE COURT: Good morning.

MR. GOLD: Good morning.

MR. ALEXANDER: Good morning.

MR. CARROLL: Good morning.

James Carroll, Your Honor, for Mr. Landau, Stewart, And
Timney.

MR. CHEFFO: Good morning, Your Honor.

Mark Cheffo for Purdue.

MR. WOLOSZ: Good morning, Your Honor.

Justin Wolosz for the individual defendants we'll be
referring to as the director defendants.

MS. PORTER: And good morning, Your Honor.

Julie Porter on behalf of Defendant Russell Gasdia.

1 THE COURT: Good morning.

2 So I read with interest the filings that came in
3 yesterday at four o'clock. I had a -- a very narrowly
4 tailored motion by defendants Craig Landau, John Stewart, and
5 Mark Timney to impound a very limited portion of this first
6 amended complaint.

7 I then had a more general motion to impound the entire
8 complaint and a memorandum in support.

9 Frankly, when we were last here, I didn't quite
10 understand the -- the fact that there was this other order in
11 Ohio by a Federal Court. I have no desire to get into any
12 kind of quarrel with a Federal Court.

13 I con -- my concern, and it still remains my concern, is
14 that we have rules here regarding impoundment that govern this
15 procedure, Massachusetts rules for impound -- uniform rules of
16 impoundment, and that I -- it -- the Court should be very
17 careful about impounding material.

18 It -- it's one thing to have documents produced and per
19 -- in discovery and designated confidential, and it's quite
20 another thing to have this -- this information than impounded
21 as part of a public proceeding.

22 So -- but then I looked at the federal order, and I see
23 that the confidentiality order there has a little bit of a
24 different approach. Maybe there's not a conflict. Maybe
25 there's a way of resolving this without getting into kind of

1 -- some kind of federal/state struggle.

2 So I did read with interest the transcript from the
3 hearing on the emergency motion. That was very helpful, and
4 maybe we do have some kind of proposal that comes out of this.

5 MS. FEINER: Your Honor, if I may?

6 So we are -- are grateful that you have been able to
7 review all of this in such short time. Obviously things were
8 unfolding in pretty real time yesterday.

9 We don't think that our time is best spent today sort of
10 defending against some of the what we would say are
11 mischaracterizations in the emergency motion, or even in the
12 motion to impound that Purdue filed here, which sort of
13 repackages some of those same general arguments.

14 But I would like to just make a few clarifying points
15 about sort of the -- what has gone on in the MDL and its
16 relationship to here and -- and the Commonwealth's conduct,
17 and then propose or explain how we think we ought to proceed
18 on the Pursue piece of this.

19 THE COURT: Okay.

20 MS. FEINER: If I may.

21 THE COURT: What -- I don't know if -- if -- and that
22 might make sense for you to go first.

23 Frankly, I'm not really sure, after reading that
24 transcript of -- of what it is the defendants are proposing,
25 so maybe I -- the motion itself says a motion to I'm --

1 impound the entire complaint. The transcript seems to
2 indicate that the defendants do not dis -- are not objecting
3 to the -- the filing of a redacted complaint, along the lines
4 of what was sent to the -- present -- presented to the Court
5 last week.

6 MR. CHEFFO: Yes, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: Is that --

8 MR. CARROLL: I --

9 THE COURT: -- right?

10 MR. CHEFFO: It -- that -- that's right, Your Honor.

11 And here -- here's what I would -- if I could? Because I
12 -- I think I do have a proposal.

13 And again, I think like counsel, we appreciate Your Honor
14 obviously digging in and -- and, you know, it's a lot of
15 information in a short period of time.

16 And I think we -- we can answer -- I can answer any
17 questions that you have.

18 I think I have a practical proposal for the Court. I
19 think that --

20 THE COURT: What -- what is the motion in other words?

21 MR. CHEFFO: I'm sorry?

22 Here -- here -- here's what we're -- what our concern is.
23 There -- there was -- there was basically -- what we didn't
24 know last week, there was 200 pages added. You saw a lot of
25 those doc -- a lot of the allegations were based on documents

1 from the MDL.

2 THE COURT: Yes.

3 MR. CHEFFO: So what we've agreed to, and we've actually
4 approached this with counsel a few times, we said you know
5 what, there's no reason to actually file a redacted complaint
6 right now. We are going to actually work through many of
7 these issues as the MDL order re -- basically requires, as
8 we've agreed to do.

9 So what we've proposed, and -- and up until now, I think
10 it's been rejected, is basically to -- to have some time to
11 meet and confer. In fact, I think as -- as late as yesterday,
12 we proposed a number of areas where we would not, you know,
13 kind of object.

14 So what we're in the situation because Judge Bolster said
15 really I want this to move quickly, and to be very clear, we
16 never said anything about this Court's jurisdiction. Judge
17 Bolster, as you saw from the -- from the transcript, has no
18 interest in interfering in any way with this Court's
19 jurisdiction.

20 His -- his kind of focus was very narrow. He basically
21 said to the extent there were -- there's 40 million documents
22 that we produced in the MDL. To the extent that there were
23 documents that were accessed, and there was a protective
24 order, I'm going to, you know, enforce that because that was
25 produced in the MDL and the protective order.

1 So I --

2 THE COURT: Okay. So I'm sorry.

3 MR. CHEFFO: Yes

4 THE COURT: What I'm trying to get to before we --

5 MR. CHEFFO: Yes --

6 THE COURT: -- before --

7 MR. CHEFFO: -- Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: -- we launch into the explanation and --

9 MR. CHEFFO: Yes.

10 THE COURT: -- what everybody's position is, what are you
11 asking the Court to do today?

12 MR. CHEFFO: So to --

13 THE COURT: In a few sentences.

14 MR. CHEFFO: Yes. Yes, Your Honor.

15 Well, right now, what I understand, I don't know what the
16 plaintiffs -- my understanding is the state is basically going
17 to be filing a redacted -- a fully redacted complaint.

18 THE COURT: Along the lines of what was presented to the
19 Court last week, I did -- at last week, I got an unredacted
20 version --

21 MR. CHEFFO: Right.

22 THE COURT: -- which didn't -- essentially is not a
23 filing and with any -- this Court at all.

24 MR. CHEFFO: Correct.

25 THE COURT: It was presented so I could understand what

1 was being redacted.

2 There was a heavily redacted version.

3 MR. CHEFFO: Yes, Your Honor.

4 THE COURT: And I didn't feel comfortable -- the
5 Commonwealth asked to file the redacted version.

6 MR. CHEFFO: Yes, Your Honor.

7 THE COURT: Defense said well, oh, we might not object to
8 a lot of this, we may agree to have it unredacted, give us
9 some time, Judge, let's come back next week. And here we are
10 today --

11 MR. CHEFFO: And --

12 THE COURT: -- and so I guess you're saying -- are you
13 still objecting to the filing of a redacted complaint along
14 the lines of what was filed last week?

15 MR. CHEFFO: No, Your Honor.

16 But I --

17 THE COURT: No?

18 MR. CHEFFO: -- I was trying to be mindful of what the
19 Court's -- I -- again, I don't want to be presumptuous. But
20 what I understand the Court had a concern was you didn't want
21 to have a -- a largely -- a complaint that had a lot of black
22 filings and pages and pages of redactions.

23 THE COURT: Well, I'm -- I'm reconsidering that.

24 MR. CHEFFO: Okay.

25 And -- and -- then I have no objection -- we have no

1 objection --

2 THE COURT: Okay.

3 MR. CHEFFO: -- to either the -- having a redacted
4 complaint filed, nor do we have an objection, of course, to
5 Your Honor having an unredacted version to review.

6 THE COURT: Okay.

7 MR. CHEFFO: What we -- what we were planning to do in
8 the next, you know, week or two with Christmas and New Year's,
9 is to work cooperatively, as we have, with the state and to
10 try and figure out if there were any of those redactions which
11 we could come to agreement, no longer needed to be redacted.

12 But because they added 200 pages to a complaint, making
13 it 300 pages, there's 800 documents, we just couldn't do that
14 all in the process and Judge Bolster has said his -- the
15 special master, at least as the MDL documents are available if
16 we can't work it out to resolve it on an expedited --

17 THE COURT: Okay.

18 MR. CHEFFO: -- basis.

19 THE COURT: But -- so the bottom line is you would like
20 -- you have no objection to filing his heavily redacted
21 amended complaint.

22 MR. CHEFFO: That's correct.

23 THE COURT: I could -- provisionally impounding the
24 unredacted version, and then continue this for further hearing
25 to determine what portions of the redacted complaint should be

1 open to the public view.

2 Is that --

3 MR. CHEFFO: I --

4 THE COURT: -- the bottom line --

5 MR. CHEFFO: I think --

6 THE COURT: -- you're --

7 MR. CHEFFO: I think Your Honor said it better than --
8 than I did.

9 THE COURT: Okay.

10 MR. CHEFFO: Yes.

11 THE COURT: Okay. So let me go back to Ms. Gillian [sic]
12 --

13 MS. FEINER: Yes.

14 THE COURT: -- since she did stand up and start speaking
15 first, and I cut her off.

16 MS. FEINER: No, that's --

17 THE COURT: And --

18 MS. FEINER: -- fine, Your Honor.

19 I think --

20 THE COURT: That's the proposal and that's -- I mean
21 that's where I'm inclined to -- to go.

22 I -- I appreciate any comments you might have about the
23 issues that ultimately I'm going to have to decide, if that's
24 what we can -- and certainly, we can discuss that today as
25 well.

1 MS. FEINER: So we would like to file a redacted version
2 of the complaint today.

3 There is at least one motion to impound on the merits
4 that I think you can get to from the CEOs, but before we get
5 there, if you would just indulge me for a --

6 THE COURT: Okay.

7 MS. FEINER: -- moment --

8 THE COURT: That's fine.

9 MS. FEINER: -- just unpack a few of the things that
10 happened yesterday.

11 THE COURT: Yes. A lot happened yesterday.

12 MS. FEINER: A lot happened yesterday.

13 So the MDL protective order, which you have as an
14 attachment to Purdue's motion to impound, expressly allows
15 state Court plaintiffs to obtain documents produced in the MDL
16 by the defendants in their cases.

17 So here, you know, we're obviously the State Court
18 plaintiff, and Purdue is our defendant. The have made
19 productions in the MDL.

20 We signed onto the MDL protective order in July after
21 filing our initial complaint in June. We did not hide the
22 ball --

23 THE COURT: Okay.

24 MS. FEINER: -- on this from Purdue, and I think that the
25 best evidence of that, Your Honor, is in the protective order

1 this Court entered, which was a heavily negotiated document
2 that Purdue actually submitted to the Court together with a
3 joint motion for entry.

4 THE COURT: And -- and by the way, what -- who entered --
5 I think this got transferred from a regular civil session to
6 --

7 MS. FEINER: Kaplan, it was Judge Kaplan --

8 THE COURT: Oh, okay.

9 MS. FEINER: -- Your Honor.

10 THE COURT: Okay.

11 MS. FEINER: and I believe that that was on October 22nd,
12 if memory serves.

13 So it was a heavily negotiated document. We compromised
14 on certain issues. They compromised on certain issues
15 including to agree that documents that we obtained pursuant to
16 paragraph 33L of the MDL protective order would be governed by
17 this Court's protective order.

18 To the extent that they have characterized either in
19 their motion -- emergency motion to Judge Bolster or the --
20 the motion to impound that they filed yesterday, our
21 allegations in the amended complaint as inappropriate in any
22 way, I'm not going to spend a lot of time on it, but I just
23 want to briefly address it.

24 After filing our initial complaint in June, all of the
25 defendants, the individual defendants, served us with motions

1 to dismiss for lack of personal jurisdiction together with
2 affidavits swearing that they didn't direct or engage in the
3 marketing of or promotion of Purdue's opioids in
4 Massachusetts.

5 Our amended complaints that you -- you have both copies
6 of contains detailed allegations regarding each of those
7 defendants' involvement in the unlawful conduct, including in
8 Massachusetts.

9 So we --

10 THE COURT: So --

11 MS. FEINER: -- view them as necessary --

12 THE COURT: -- these allegations were necessary --

13 MS. FEINER: -- and appropriate.

14 Putting aside the legal and factual disputes for a
15 moment, which are not maybe insignificant, what matters most
16 to us and what we think is best -- most in the public interest
17 is moving forward with our law enforcement action by filing
18 our redacted complaint today.

19 We want to move forward expeditiously also with an
20 appropriate meet and confer process. We've been ready to do
21 that. We remain ready to do that. The processes laid out in
22 the various orders your -- this Court's order, the MDL Court
23 order aren't that different.

24 Accordingly, last night, I sent Purdue's counsel notice
25 pursuant to paragraph 52 of the MDL protective order that we

1 are challenging their confidentiality designations as they
2 relate to the information in the still redacted allegations,
3 and under that provision, we expect the process to move
4 forward.

5 THE COURT: So the -- the first issue that needs to -- or
6 one of -- one of the issues that needs to be resolved is
7 whether the material in your amended complaint in any way runs
8 afoul of the MDL order; is that --

9 MS. FEINER: It's not --

10 THE COURT: -- or -- or --

11 MS. FEINER: -- that it runs --

12 THE COURT: It --

13 MS. FEINER: -- afoul of it. I mean --

14 THE COURT: Or it involves the use of confidential
15 information produced in the MDL litigation I guess.

16 MS. FEINER: Where -- and -- and -- and I guess we --
17 there will be a -- a process whereby we have challenge -- we
18 have now challenged those designations. They have seven days
19 to respond with their basis for those designations, then we
20 have another seven days to challenge the designation with the
21 special master, Special Master Cohen in the MDL.

22 I mean if we're -- if we're operating under this
23 paradigm, and then they have the burden of persuasion with
24 respect to the propriety of the designations.

25 Now, I think they -- they -- the reason that we're in

1 this process is because they believe that the standard that
2 Special Master Cohen is going to be apply is more favorable to
3 them on the designations.

4 But, you know, we're willing to work in good faith to see
5 if we can get to a less redacted place, and we would just ask
6 for a status conference in 30 days so that we can report back
7 to you on the progress.

8 You know, if we can -- if we can cover a lot of ground,
9 in -- in the next 30 days, then, you know, perhaps we can just
10 file a less redacted version, get the unredacted version
11 impounded here and sort of move on with our lives, but we
12 don't think it's -- we -- we just want to move forward.

13 THE COURT: So I guess you're saying step one, I -- is to
14 determine whether there's material in the redacted complaint
15 that falls within the scope of the MDL order and to get the
16 special -- the special master there, the Federal Judge there
17 involved to make some kind of determination on that issue?

18 MS. FEINER: Correct -- well, I think that we would
19 acknowledge, and the -- the -- the footnotes in our complaint
20 show that many of the -- much of the information that our
21 allegations are based on was information that we obtained
22 through this MDL process.

23 We don't really dispute that.

24 I think --

25 THE COURT: Okay.

1 MS. FEINER: -- what we would dispute is whether they're
2 appropriately designated confidential, and so that's what
3 we're hoping to deal with.

4 They have taken a pretty categorical position over the
5 last week, and I think in their written product as well, that
6 anything that they produced in the MDL that we're relying
7 upon, we should have to go through the de-designation process
8 in the MDL.

9 And we are saying --

10 THE COURT: Okay.

11 MS. FEINER: -- that we expect to be treated fairly in
12 both Courts, and our goal is really the same in both Courts.

13 THE COURT: So first determine whether this information
14 is appropriately designated confidential per -- pursuant to
15 the terms of the MDL order.

16 MS. FEINER: Yes.

17 THE COURT: Step one.

18 MS. FEINER: I hope that they'll concede on some of these
19 points.

20 THE COURT: Okay. So there may be -- the -- disputes may
21 be at the very least narrowed substantially; right?

22 MS. FEINER: I can dream.

23 THE COURT: Okay.

24 Okay. And then so you're saying that would take how
25 long? About 30 days?

1 MS. FEINER: That -- no, I think that there's sort of a
2 14 day process before this goes to the special master. I
3 don't have control over Special Master Cohen's, you know, sort
4 of schedule, and I think that he's probably pretty busy
5 dealing with the many discovery disputes that are going on in
6 the MDL right now, not just having to do with us.

7 But my hope would be that he could deal with it
8 expeditiously and that whatever happens, I think it would be
9 healthy for us to have a check-in with you in 30 days.

10 THE COURT: So it's not if the -- the -- the -- the
11 special master --

12 Is that what it is, a special master?

13 MS. FEINER: Yeah. He's a --

14 THE COURT: Okay. So if the special master determines
15 that it was not appropriately designated confidential, then
16 there's no need -- the -- we don't need to get to any
17 additional issues here. That -- the -- the -- everything else
18 will be resolved, no dispute.

19 Those portions, everybody would agree can be used by the
20 Commonwealth -- Commonwealth, I guess is --

21 So but I -- what I'm still puzzled about, and maybe this
22 is premature, is, if the special master does determine it was
23 appropriately designated confidential, for purposes of
24 discovery in a federal lawsuit, how that effects this matter
25 in terms of a public filing in State Court under our uniform

1 rules of impoundment.

2 MS. FEINER: Yes.

3 THE COURT: That's where I'm unclear.

4 I'm going to hear from the --

5 MR. CHEFFO: Yes.

6 THE COURT: -- defense counsel in just a minute.

7 We're going to take turns so that --

8 MS. FEINER: Right.

9 THE COURT: -- before I turn things over to him, if you
10 can kind of give me a preview of that, but I guess I may not
11 have to --

12 MS. FEINER: You --

13 THE COURT: -- decide that today.

14 You're saying --

15 MS. FEINER: You don't.

16 THE COURT: -- put it on for a 30 day status date, see
17 whether these documents are appropriately designated
18 confidential under the MDL order. That may narrow it -- the
19 disputes significantly.

20 And then we can go to step two of that process, whatever
21 it is?

22 MS. FEINER: I think that that's right, Your Honor. I
23 think that -- you've correctly identified that there is sort
24 of a -- a difficult issue coming down the road, but I don't
25 know what it makes sense today to --

1 THE COURT: Yeah.

2 MS. FEINER: -- speculate --

3 THE COURT: All right.

4 MS. FEINER: -- on how we deal with that issue.

5 You know, we're obviously prepared to be reasonable and
6 practical --

7 THE COURT: Okay.

8 MS. FEINER: -- so we'll cross that bridge when we come
9 to it, at least that would be our suggestion.

10 THE COURT: Okay.

11 So --

12 MR. CHEFFO: Sorry, Your Honor.

13 Yeah. I was just going to --

14 I -- I really don't think there's much dispute about
15 this, so I would just more kind of amplify I think what --
16 what Ms. Feiner said.

17 I mean the reality is I -- I -- I hate to be categorical
18 because there's a lot of litigation around the country, but to
19 my best recollection, we've had -- never had to actually have
20 litigation about this. So in other words, when this process
21 typically works, you know, we usually reach a lot of agreement
22 on things, and we, you know, de -- decide how we can say you
23 can say this, is that okay, what -- don't rely on this
24 document, or they've convinced us that on retrospect, we'd
25 rather not fight about that particular document.

1 So I -- you know, that's not specific to the Court --

2 THE COURT: And --

3 MR. CHEFFO: -- but --

4 THE COURT: -- frankly, I -- I -- I don't disagree.

5 MR. CHEFFO: Yeah.

6 THE COURT: I -- I -- here's -- here's my overall problem
7 --

8 MR. CHEFFO: Sure.

9 THE COURT: -- with this, and this -- again, I -- this is
10 not something we have to decide today.

11 Parties enter into confidentiality orders all the time --

12 MR. CHEFFO: Right.

13 THE COURT: -- protective orders to pro -- protect the
14 confidentiality of documents in the course of discovery. I
15 sign off on those orders routinely in the business litigation
16 session.

17 Those orders, as the State Court order that was signed
18 here, typically con -- contain, however, an -- an additional
19 provision regarding let's -- these documents are appropriately
20 designated confidential and discovery was had and they were
21 exchanged and with that understanding.

22 But now, the party wants to use them in a public filing.
23 The Court is not bound by the confidentiality designation that
24 the party made -- made to facilitate discovery.

25 MR. CHEFFO: Right.

1 THE COURT: It has to follow the uniform rules of
2 impoundment and make certain decisions --

3 MR. CHEFFO: Right.

4 THE COURT: -- as to whether there's a public interest in
5 disclosure.

6 So I have no problem --

7 MR. CHEFFO: Sure.

8 THE COURT: -- with people designated whatever they want
9 as confidential to facilitate discovery. Where I'm having --
10 my difficulty comes in then preventing a party from a -- or --
11 or a -- essentially, having one party say because we
12 designated it confidential for discovery purposes, we think
13 you must impound it for purposes of this public litigation.
14 That's a --

15 MR. CHEFFO: I -

16 THE COURT: -- an additional step --

17 MR. CHEFFO: And I understand that, Your Honor.

18 And -- and again, I -- I think that will be the next
19 step. I -- here's the one thing I think is different, and I
20 don't -- I don't want to be pejorative in any way about this.

21 But normally that comes up, right, in context of, you
22 know, you produce documents, right, then you have depositions,
23 and then you can -- you know -- you know, it's not -- the
24 situation that's different here is that the state is basically
25 taking this information and putting a -- seeking to put it

1 into a complaint, right, where there's not going to be any
2 opportunity to say well that's cherry picked or here's the
3 other documents.

4 Or by the time the defendants could actually respond to
5 that or in discovery is going to be, you know, maybe six or
6 nine months down the road.

7 So I -- I don't usually see in the situation where
8 somebody takes it and wants to put it into a complaint.

9 Usually, we cross those bridges where the -- the Court --
10 you know, it's in the connection where a deposition where
11 people have an explanation or in interrogatory responses.

12 So I do think it is -- it --

13 THE COURT: Or when --

14 MR. CHEFFO: -- will be --

15 THE COURT: I think the -- but the issue comes to me --

16 MR. CHEFFO: Yes, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: -- only if there's some -- an attempt to
18 publically file --

19 MR. CHEFFO: The --

20 THE COURT: -- that information.

21 MR. CHEFFO: I --

22 THE COURT: You can do whatever you want in your
23 depositions and your interrogatories.

24 MR. CHEFFO: Exactly right.

25 THE COURT: So I think what you're saying is this is very

1 unusual to get this -- to this issue so quickly --

2 MR. CHEFFO: Because --

3 THE COURT: -- by way --

4 MR. CHEFFO: -- people don't usually --

5 THE COURT: -- of amended -

6 MR. CHEFFO: -- file it --

7 THE COURT: -- complaint.

8 MR. CHEFFO: -- in the complaint when we don't have a
9 chance to actually have this dialog back and forth, and that's
10 really our objection.

11 So --

12 THE COURT: But I guess --

13 MR. CHEFFO: -- we're -- we're not seeking to claw back
14 any of the documents; right?

15 We're not saying they can't have it, they can't use it.
16 In fact, we think it's efficient to do it. We're basically
17 just suggesting -- and -- and -- and I think as -- as Ms.
18 Feiner said, this is -- there's a process in place which says,
19 you know, they've basically -- I think, look, on both sides,
20 we said we need more time, they wrote us a note last night
21 pursuant to the -- the protective order which said we
22 challenge all of the confidentiality; right?

23 THE COURT: Uh-huh.

24 MR. CHEFFO: So probably neither one of those positions
25 of they're all confidential and they all are not confidential,

1 so that's why I think if we have an opportunity to talk to
2 each other --

3 THE COURT: Okay.

4 MR. CHEFFO: -- and try and work it out, and if we have
5 disputes, the special master will deal with it.

6 But at some point --

7 THE COURT: I -- I don't -- I will say I don't think --
8 whatever the special master determines is -- is -- is not
9 necessarily going to be the end of the story here for purposes
10 of the State Court litigation.

11 MR. CHEFFO: Sure.

12 THE COURT: That is that if there's a request to me and
13 this -- regardless of what the case is, to hide from public
14 view something that ordinarily is considered to be a matter of
15 public record, then under the rules of impoundment, I may have
16 to make a determination that the private interest outweigh the
17 public interest and that an impoundment is justified.

18 This is --

19 I mean obviously a matter of some public interest, so my
20 antennas go up when there's a request to heavily redact any
21 public filing in a case like this.

22 And so it's -- it's very different from a master
23 overseeing discovery.

24 MR. CHEFFO: We -- we --

25 THE COURT: It is a -- request to the Court in a public

1 filing to hide certain information or allegations at this
2 point from the public, and there may be other members of the
3 public, for example, the media who have an interest in
4 obtaining that information and they have a right to be heard
5 under our rules of impoundment.

6 MR. CHEFFO: We -- we understand, Your Honor.

7 And I would just -- and I -- I -- I really don't, again,
8 disagree with that. I would just -- just say that the
9 situation here is a little different; right?

10 So --

11 THE COURT: I'm not sure why it's --

12 MR. CHEFFO: Well --

13 THE COURT: -- different.

14 MR. CHEFFO: -- here -- here -- here's why I think it is
15 a little different.

16 There was -- there was a -- a -- a bunch of documents, a
17 lot of documents, probably millions of pages that were
18 produced to the state in connection prior to litigation.
19 Those were then provided here; right? They were in a
20 complaint.

21 I -- I think that was a -- a different situation.

22 Here, at least our view is, the state went and basically
23 took advantage of the MDL, right, documents, so at the end of
24 the day -- and basically -- and just I don't want to get into
25 the weeds on this --

1 THE COURT: Okay.

2 MR. CHEFFO: -- Your Honor --

3 THE COURT: I -- I'm not sure -- I understand that you
4 feel like there's some strategic and ulterior motives here.

5 Ms. Gillian has said that this is actually was to respond
6 to your motion to dismiss.

7 But put that aside. I'm saying I'm governed by these
8 rules. I'm trying to --

9 MR. CHEFFO: Right.

10 THE COURT: -- understand how this case should be treated
11 any differently.

12 Yes, there is this MDL work. That's the wrinkle, and
13 that's what I don't totally understand how it fits into this
14 procedure -- this proceeding.

15 But ultimately, and again, this is just a preview --

16 MR. CHEFFO: Sure.

17 THE COURT: -- of what we're going to hear down the road.

18 Ultimately, if you do decide that you are going to be
19 seeking redactions, and that the special master in the federal
20 litigation has determined that they were appropriately
21 designated confidential for purposes of discovery, as I
22 understand it, in that litigation, does -- what does that
23 mean?

24 Does that mean that I should therefore impound that
25 information to the extent it comes in by way of an amended

1 complaint?

2 That's going to be the issue --

3 MR. CHEFFO: And--

4 THE COURT: -- I have to face.

5 MR. CHEFFO: I understand.

6 And I -- and I -- I really do understand that, and I --
7 and -- and I don't want to -- you know, when you -- when
8 you've had enough of -- of hearing --

9 I -- my only point on this is -- is -- is really just
10 that we will go through the process, and -- and it will be
11 something that we'll have to work out because the -- the
12 document -- it would be no different than if this Court had a
13 protective order and said I think these are -- you know, we
14 would -- we wouldn't base it --

15 If there were documents that were declared confidential
16 here --

17 THE COURT: Yes.

18 MR. CHEFFO: -- that Your Honor said I think these are
19 confidential, we would not take them somewhere else. We would
20 just have to make sure that -- that the documents and the
21 protections work because the difference here is these were not
22 produced during discovery.

23 They weren't in response to a request to the state, so
24 there are, as I said, million -- tens of millions of pages.

25 The protective order in the MDL -- so -- so a lot of the

1 documents in fairness I don't think would have ever been
2 responsive to the claims in this case; however the parties,
3 for efficiency, had said you can go ahead and look at any of
4 the doc -- because they're nationwide --

5 THE COURT: Yeah.

6 MR. CHEFFO: -- right?

7 There are things that could be in --

8 THE COURT: No.

9 MR. CHEFFO: -- Wisconsin.

10 THE COURT: It -- it makes -- that's efficient for
11 purposes --

12 MR. CHEFFO: It --

13 THE COURT: -- of discovery --

14 MR. CHEFFO: It --

15 THE COURT: -- yes.

16 MR. CHEFFO: Exactly.

17 THE COURT: I'll agree.

18 MR. CHEFFO: And -- and so we said but if you're going to
19 access them, right, somebody has to determine, right, whether
20 they are -- are confidential and that's, you know, under the
21 auspices, just like if it was --

22 THE COURT: Of the special --

23 MR. CHEFFO: -- your document, that would be he auspices.

24 So now how it ultimately gets filed, I think we'll all
25 have to work on that but it would be no different, it seems to

1 me, that if -- if a document is legitimately determined to be,
2 by you or the special master, confidential, right, there has
3 to be some ways of protecting that from public disclosure --

4 THE COURT: Well --

5 MR. CHEFFO: -- if you --

6 THE COURT: -- actually --

7 MR. CHEFFO: -- determine that it's confidential.

8 THE COURT: Well, actually, the protective order that
9 this Court entered, and it is very typical of protective
10 orders generally in cases where confidential information is
11 going to be exchanged, has a particular paragraph, paragraph
12 12, that deals specifically with that, before publically
13 filing any pleadings or other documents of any nature which
14 con -- do contain confidential materials, undisputedly
15 confidential materials, the parties -- and then it has a
16 process. The parties shall first confer, and unless they
17 agree that they can be filed without redactions, then one
18 party can move for impoundment.

19 There's -- the uniform rules of impoundment then kick in,
20 and it's quite clear that the Court -- it's deter -- that the
21 -- that the parties have agreed and it -- undisputedly that
22 this is confidential material does not mean that the Court
23 should or will impound it, that there's -- that this
24 protective order, -- the State Court order, I think, makes
25 that clear, that this is going to be a separate determination.

1 And so I -- I -- the MDL order doesn't seem to have that
2 kind of provision, so I'm not -- a little unclear about --

3 MR. CHEFFO: Well --

4 THE COURT: -- how these --

5 MR. CHEFFO: And as your --

6 THE COURT: -- go together.

7 MR. CHEFFO: As Your Honor said, you're going to -- you
8 know, we're going to all have to work this through.

9 The only thing, again, I would suggest is that -- is
10 because -- and I'm not faulting at all, I -- you know, so I if
11 meant to be -- I'm not at all faulting.

12 I think this was the way the process works.

13 But my only point really here is that because there's
14 this huge amount of documents, right, and basically, you know,
15 there's -- there's an acknowledgement, you probably saw it,
16 that -- that Ms. Feiner signed that said that they will do
17 nothing to -- they will subject themselves to the -- the
18 jurisdiction of the Federal Court; right?

19 So if there's a --

20 THE COURT: Well --

21 MR. CHEFFO: -- dispute about --

22 THE COURT: -- discovery -- yes, okay.

23 MR. CHEFFO: -- about those, that -- that's my -- really
24 my only point.

25 I -- I -- you know, it would be an end around, right, if

1 basically someone could just take those documents and then
2 just file them.

3 So we -- we do think that our personal view, Your Honor,
4 will have -- obviously, this is your Court. You know, is that
5 to the extent that there are disputes and they go through the
6 process and they were obtained pursuant to this agreement, --

7 THE COURT: Uh-huh.

8 MR. CHEFFO: -- that those documents, that should be --

9 And look, we may win some of those calls. We may lose.
10 Hopefully, we won't have to have a lot of those, but
11 ultimately, because they came subject to and pursuant to an
12 MDL protective order --

13 THE COURT: Yes.

14 MR. CHEFFO: -- right?

15 Just like no different if -- if -- if this Court had an
16 order and someone else used them, you would be the arbiter.

17 In that case, we've decided, everyone has, that if we're
18 going to use those documents, the way we determine whether
19 they're confidential or not is to go through the MDL process
20 because there's a forty page --

21 THE COURT: I --

22 MR. CHEFFO: -- protective order there --

23 THE COURT: I --

24 MR. CHEFFO: -- as well.

25 THE COURT: I get -- I get all that.

1 I guess the -- what I'm -- again, the -- something is
2 designated confidential it -- does not necessarily mean in my
3 view that this Court must impound it.

4 And -- and so -- but -- but, you know, frankly --

5 MR. CHEFFO: Yeah. I understand.

6 THE COURT: This does give the -- a time for the special
7 master and the -- the feds in Ohio, I guess --

8 MR. CHEFFO: Right.

9 THE COURT: -- to speak to any issue that you think is
10 implicated --

11 MR. CHEFFO: Right.

12 THE COURT: -- here, and presumably, that may well --

13 MR. CHEFFO: And --

14 THE COURT: -- take place before the next date --

15 MR. CHEFFO: And it may well --

16 THE COURT: -- and you'll have some -- I'll have some
17 guidance because obviously, I don't want to --

18 MR. CHEFFO: Right.

19 THE COURT: -- get into a state -- state federal kind of
20 quarrel.

21 MR. CHEFFO: And to be very clear -- right.

22 So I -- we were very careful about this. I think counsel
23 was and the Court was.

24 The order that came out basically says the state, as I
25 understand it, the state must abide by the protective order;

1 right?

2 So I don't think they're going to be able to file
3 something that -- that's governed by the protective order if
4 Judge --

5 THE COURT: I --

6 MR. CHEFFO: -- Bolster determines that it's confidential
7 --

8 THE COURT: Okay.

9 MR. CHEFFO: -- so that --

10 THE COURT: That's your --

11 MR. CHEFFO: -- would be --

12 THE COURT: -- opinion.

13 MR. CHEFFO: -- something that -- you know, that we would
14 have to work through.

15 But I -- see, I don't think it's direct -- to be very
16 clear, I don't think Judge Bolster has said this Court should
17 do anything. We have not asked him to do anything. I think
18 his -- his order is based on the folks who signed the
19 acknowledgement to the protective order, say you cannot
20 disclose this; right?

21 That's what it said yesterday.

22 Now we're going to work through this process, but that's
23 going to be the issue; right? It's not Your Honor doing it.
24 It's whether, you know, they could --

25 THE COURT: Well, that's --

1 MR. CHEFFO: -- actually --

2 THE COURT: I -- there was something in the transcript
3 that says by which you -- defense counsel said it's clear the
4 State Court has a much different view as to what is
5 protectable here.

6 She -- Judge Sanders is inclined only to protect trade
7 secrets.

8 And -- and frankly, the reason I am trying to articulate
9 my views on this, it's not that I only view information that
10 should be impounded as only trade secrets. It's that I do see
11 that there's a -- first of all, a process by which discovery
12 is had, and the parties designate things confidential, and for
13 the most part, that's by agreement.

14 MR. CHEFFO: Uh-huh.

15 THE COURT: And then there's a second part of the
16 process, by which one party now seeks to use this confidential
17 material in a public filing, and in every case, I find myself
18 bound by the uniform rules of impoundment and have to make a
19 determination whether, notwithstanding the fact that this is
20 confidential material, is it never -- nevertheless in the
21 public interest that this should be publically filed as
22 opposed to redacted.

23 So it's not a -- necessarily --

24 MR. CHEFFO: I understand.

25 THE COURT: -- a difference in my view of what's

1 confidential. It's my difference -- perhaps a difference of
2 opinion as to the steps in the process.

3 MR. CHEFFO: Okay.

4 THE COURT: Confidential for purposes if discovery, but
5 what happens when a party seeks to use that in a public found
6 -- filing?

7 Well, then we have these state rules of impoundment.

8 MR. CHEFFO: I understand --

9 THE COURT: So that's --

10 MR. CHEFFO: -- that.

11 THE COURT: -- that's the difference here.

12 MR. CHEFFO: And I -- I -- I appreciate Your Honor
13 explaining that.

14 And I -- look, I'm -- I'm hopeful that we will, you know,
15 be able to work a lot of this out and -- and have an
16 opportunity to --

17 And this is a little unusual situation, right, because
18 usually party serves discovery, you get a discovery, all the
19 rules apply.

20 The only thing I think we have this issue is because --

21 THE COURT: I --

22 MR. CHEFFO: -- of --

23 THE COURT: -- I'm not inter --

24 MR. CHEFFO: Yeah.

25 THE COURT: I think -- and I'm not -- I am not

1 interfering with that at all.

2 MR. CHEFFO: Right.

3 THE COURT: And the protective order, I -- that we --
4 that this Court signed not -- wants to facilitate discovery.

5 It -- the -- the -- where the Court is implicated --

6 MR. CHEFFO: Yes.

7 THE COURT: -- is when you then ask the Court to put its
8 approval on hiding this information from the public. That's
9 -- so that's what I'm concerned with ultimately, that
10 something is designated confidential for purposes of
11 discovery, I don't have strong feelings about.

12 MR. CHEFFO: Uh-huh.

13 THE COURT: That -- that, generally speaking, is worked
14 out among the parties.

15 This case is different in that this was MDL ordered. So
16 if the special master has some different, kind of, legal view
17 as to how this process should proceed, then obviously I'm -- I
18 -- I'd be interested in knowing what that is.

19 I -- because I don't want to, again, throw a monkey
20 wrench into those proceedings.

21 Not my intent --

22 MR. CHEFFO: Uh-huh.

23 THE COURT: -- never my desire to get into some kind of
24 fight with the feds --

25 MR. CHEFFO: No, I --

1 THE COURT: So --

2 MR. CHEFFO: -- and we -- we don't see that either, Your
3 Honor.

4 Thank you.

5 THE COURT: So Ms. Gillian [sic], you seem anxious to
6 reply?

7 MS. FEINER: No, I -- I think you -- you correctly
8 understand the issue. I think it's possible that when we come
9 back here in 30 days or whenever you set the status
10 conference, that there could be issues where Special Master
11 Cohen thinks that something is confidential and we still
12 disagree.

13 And I think what -- what may change between now and then
14 is we'll know the universe --

15 THE COURT: Yes.

16 MS. FEINER: -- of those issues, and we'll be able to
17 sort of right size the discussion.

18 So that --

19 THE COURT: Okay. I think that's right.

20 MS. FEINER: -- that makes sense to us. We would like to
21 file, very much, a redacted complaint.

22 We understand that Judge Bolster has no objection to
23 that. Purdue has no objection to that. I will tell you that
24 since we gave a -- the redacted complaint to you last week, we
25 have engaged a little bit in a meet and confer process with

1 some of the other defendants and actually Purdue yesterday
2 gave us some items that we could un-redact so we would like
3 to replace that version with a new version.

4 THE COURT: Of course there's nothing to replace yet for
5 the public file.

6 This was simply presented to me so that I understood what
7 was going in here --

8 MS. FEINER: Correct.

9 THE COURT: -- so --

10 I -- there's been no public filing of it -- either an un-
11 redacted version or a redacted version, no amended complaint
12 yet.

13 MS. FEINER: Right.

14 THE COURT: So you're saying you do have an updated
15 version of the redacted --

16 MS. FEINER: We do.

17 THE COURT: -- complaint?

18 MS. FEINER: And we would like --

19 THE COURT: That you'd like --

20 MS. FEINER: -- to file it.

21 THE COURT: Okay.

22 MS. FEINER: With -- with sort of one caveat --

23 THE COURT: Okay.

24 MS. FEINER: -- which is that you have a motion to
25 impound before you from the CEO defendants on a limited issue

1 of compensation --

2 THE COURT: Yes.

3 MS. FEINER: -- and so depending on the outcome of that,
4 the redacted version --

5 THE COURT: Okay.

6 MS. FEINER: -- that we have may change further.

7 THE COURT: So maybe I should turn to that first, who is
8 arguing that?

9 MR. CARROLL: Your Honor, James Carroll on behalf of Mr.
10 Landau, Mr. Stewart, and Mr. Timney.

11 We have made under the uniform rules of impoundment a
12 very narrow motion to impound pieces of nine lines of this 274
13 page complaint.

14 Those pieces of those nine lines disclose particular
15 compensation information as to which these defendants have --
16 have now and have always had a legitimate interest of privacy.

17 These are private people who work for a private company.
18 They are not public citizens. They do not push themselves
19 into the public domain. They're not politicians or anyone
20 like that seeking publicity.

21 The only way in which they've ever been main --
22 maintained to be public persons is being named by the
23 Commonwealth in this case with the allegations that have been
24 made.

25 Respectfully, the Commonwealth can't say that's a public

1 person because we put them in the complaint and made a bunch
2 of allegations against them.

3 Your Honor, the compensation information included in that
4 Exhibit, and it's only the Exhibit, it's not in the complaint
5 --

6 THE COURT: Oh, okay.

7 MR. CARROLL: -- itself --

8 THE COURT: Okay.

9 MR. CARROLL: -- goes to no element of any claim. It's
10 information that is not relevant to any element the
11 Commonwealth would prove on a 93A claim, and it goes to no
12 element that they would prove on a public nuisance claim.

13 We appreciate the strong public interest and
14 transparency, but it is to relevant information.

15 Those very modest redactions don't deprive the public of
16 knowing anything that they need to know about this case and
17 we'd stipulate that the gentleman are highly compensated.

18 THE COURT: okay.

19 MR. CARROLL: The specific information is of no moment to
20 this case and ought to be, we respectfully submit, redacted
21 and doing so, I would also say is consistent with Judge
22 Brassard's decision that -- that he issued with respect to
23 protective orders that we cited in the Saint Paul Insurance
24 case.

25 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.

1 Ms. Gillian [sic], what -- do you have any position on
2 that motion?

3 MS. FEINER: Well, we agree that their motion to impound
4 is quite discrete in nature, and we place that -- those
5 allegations in an exhibit to simplify potential impoundment.

6 Having reviewed the CEO defendant's motion, we don't
7 believe that they have met the standard for impoundment --
8 impoundment. This is a law enforcement complaint concerning
9 matters of significant public interest.

10 We've alleged the defendants engaged in and personally
11 profited from misconduct in the market against sale of opioids
12 in Massachusetts.

13 We disagree that salary information like what's contained
14 in Exhibit 2 is intensely personal. In many contexts, salary
15 is public, public employees, executives at Massachusetts
16 public charities like hospitals and executives at publically
17 traded companies, where I believe Mr. Timney has since taken a
18 position as a CEO.

19 And we would further say -- state that the CEO's
20 compensation goes to at least two issues that are in dispute,
21 jurisdiction and damages.

22 So, you know, that's where we stand. We think that the
23 -- the standard for impoundment is a pretty onerous one, but
24 it is a discrete set of allegations and they are in the
25 exhibit. So --

1 THE COURT: Okay. So you oppose it?

2 MS. FEINER: Yes.

3 THE COURT: Okay. You orally oppose it.

4 I didn't receive any particular --

5 MS. FEINER: That's correct --

6 THE COURT: -- filing.

7 MS. FEINER: -- Your Honor.

8 THE COURT: Okay. So I -- I think there's some good
9 arguments for impound -- this is actually what I contemplated
10 more in terms of impoundment, a very narrow and focused and
11 limited impoundment with a -- a particular reasons articulated
12 as to why this information should -- is -- is not particularly
13 helpful, nor does it serve any particular public interest.

14 We're going to be back here anyway, however, so I'm going
15 to allow the request given the limited nature of it, but given
16 that the -- we're going to have further hearings as to
17 impoundment of other portions of the complaint, I would like
18 to just have this provisional order.

19 Once I have the whole universe before me, and I
20 understand the scope of the redactions that are going to be
21 requested, I want to be able to revisit this issue. So this
22 is a provisional order only.

23 I guess that means you have to come back, Mr. Carroll,
24 but you're coming back anyways.

25 MR. CARROLL: I like coming to see you, Your Honor.

1 THE COURT: Okay. Okay.

2 So not -- no burden on you.

3 I do think there is a -- a good reason to have the filing
4 of this redacted version. Now that it's been explained to me
5 that there is a reason for this Court to accept the redacted
6 version because of the federal order, I think it's important
7 of the public to see the extent of the redactions that are
8 contained in that because if there is a public interest or if
9 there is some representative of the public who wishes to be
10 heard with respect to these redactions, they now have --
11 they're pretty much on notice as to what we're going to be
12 discussing at the next hearing.

13 And that would be the time for those nonparties, and I
14 think the rule allows it, to speak up, to the extent there's a
15 public interest here.

16 So redacted version of those -- the latest version is
17 filed. That -- I have allowed this request to -- by the
18 defendants, Craig Landau and John Stewart and Mark Timney,
19 pending further hearing.

20 The further hearing is going to be when?

21 30 days, is that what we're thinking?

22 MS. FEINER: We would propose 30 days --

23 THE COURT: Okay.

24 MS. FEINER: -- Your Honor.

25 THE COURT: Is there -- I'm going to -- I think it's

1 important to have some continuity in this case so I'm going to
2 retain jurisdiction over this case.

3 I'm -- I -- I do not sit in the business litigation
4 section -- session for six months at a time, but I am in -- am
5 in this building, and BLS Judges do retain jurisdiction over
6 certain cases where the circumstances warrant it.

7 So I'm going to -- we'll likely be in this courtroom, but
8 it will require me to schedule around my criminal matters, and
9 so I'm -- try to put it either on a Friday afternoon or -- or
10 Friday day to avoid interrupting a trial that I might be
11 involved in.

12 We already have I think a -- at least one Friday day in
13 January in which I'm scheduled for another civil matter, which
14 I've retained jurisdiction; is that right?

15 THE CLERK: Let me check.

16 THE COURT: I think it's January 19th.

17 THE CLERK: I don't see anything on the 19th, Judge.

18 THE COURT: Okay.

19 Is there a Friday around that time --

20 THE CLERK: Oh, January 18th is a Friday. That's the
21 gaming commission.

22 THE COURT: Oh, that's it. Okay.

23 THE CLERK: The 18th.

24 THE COURT: And that's scheduled for?

25 THE CLERK: January 18th at two o'clock.

1 THE COURT: What -- what's the -- what's the event, a
2 motion hearing?

3 THE CLERK: A rule --

4 THE COURT: Okay.

5 THE CLERK: Yes.

6 THE COURT: Okay. What about the following week, the
7 following Friday?

8 MS. FEINER: The 25th?

9 THE COURT: And would that make -- that gives everybody
10 enough time for this meet and confer and going to this special
11 master in Ohio, and --

12 MR. CHEFFO: I -- I was going to suggest -- Yeah.

13 When you said the 18th, I was going to suggest the 25th.

14 I --

15 THE COURT: Okay.

16 MR. CHEFFO: -- just to give us --

17 THE COURT: Okay.

18 MR. CHEFFO: -- that extra little bit of time, with the --

19 THE COURT: Okay.

20 MR. CHEFFO: -- holidays.

21 THE COURT: January --

22 MR. CHEFFO: is that --

23 THE COURT: - 25th --

24 MR. CHEFFO: Is that okay --

25 THE COURT: -- then?

1 MR. CHEFFO: -- with you?

2 MS. FEINER: Sure.

3 THE COURT: Okay.

4 January 25th, two o'clock in this session.

5 And frankly, and I'm -- I'm sure I don't even have to ask
6 for this, but any memoranda that will assist the Court, now
7 that we've kind of identified some of the issues that I
8 anticipate coming up, would be quite helpful.

9 This is going to come up -- I've kind of had to -- dealt
10 with this on the fly. I think all of us had to deal with this
11 on the fly to some degree.

12 I got the material that we're talking about yesterday at
13 four o'clock, the transcript of the hearing was given to me
14 moments before I stepped on the bench.

15 So to the extent I've expressed certain thoughts, they've
16 been very much with little time to really thought through
17 them.

18 So they're subject to being revised, let me put it that
19 way.

20 We'll see you on January 25th then.

21 MS. FEINER: Thank you so much, Your Honor.

22 MR. CHEFFO: Thank you.

23 COURT OFFICER: Court, all rise.

24 Court is adjourned.

25 (Adjourned)



**The Commonwealth of Massachusetts
OFFICE OF COURT MANAGEMENT, Transcription Services**

AUDIO ASSESSMENT FORM

For court transcribers: Complete this assessment form for each volume of transcript produced, and include it at the back of every original and copy transcript with the certificate page, word index, and CD PDF transcript.

TODAY'S DATE: December 28, 2018 **TRANSCRIBER NAME:** Donna H Dominguez

CASE NAME: Comm of Mass v Perdu Pharma et al **CASE NUMBER:** 1884CV01808

RECORDING DATE December 21 2018 **TRANSCRIPT VOLUME:** 1 **OF** 1

(circle one) **TYPE:** CD TAPE **QUALITY:** EXCELLENT **GOOD** FAIR POOR

(circle all that apply) **ISSUES** (include time stamp):

background noise **time stamp:** _____

low audio _____

low audio at sidebar _____

simultaneous speech _____

speaking away of microphone _____

other: _____ **time stamp:** _____

COMMENTS: _____

Certificate of Accuracy

I, Donna Holmes Dominguez, an Approved Court Transcriber, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a true and accurate transcript of the audio recording provided to me by the Suffolk County Superior Court regarding proceedings in the above-entitled matter.

I, Donna Holmes Dominguez, further certify that the foregoing is in compliance with the Administrative Office of the Trial Court Directive on Transcript Format.

I, Donna Holmes Dominguez, further certify that I neither am counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this hearing was taken, and further that I am not financially nor otherwise interested in the outcome of the action.

Donna Holmes Dominguez, ACT, CET
Notary Public, Commission Expires 5-17-24

December 28, 2018
Date

(781) 575-8000

donna@dhreporting.com

DH Reporting Services, Inc. (781) 575-8000

<p>1</p> <p>12 [1] 31:12 14 [1] 19:2 18th [4] 46:20,23,25 47:13 19th [2] 46:16,17</p> <p>2</p> <p>2 [1] 43:14 200 [2] 7:24 11:12 2018-1808 [1] 4:7 22nd [1] 14:11 25th [5] 47:8,13,23 48:4,20 274 [1] 41:12</p> <p>3</p> <p>30 [8] 17:6,9 18:25 19:9 20:16 39:9 45:21,22 300 [1] 11:13 331 [1] 14:16</p> <p>4</p> <p>40 [1] 8:21</p> <p>5</p> <p>52 [1] 15:25</p> <p>8</p> <p>800 [1] 11:13</p> <p>9</p> <p>93a [1] 42:11</p> <p>A</p> <p>abide [1] 34:25 able [5] 6:6 35:2 37:15 39:16 44:21 accept [1] 45:5 access [1] 30:19 accessed [1] 8:23 accordingly [1] 15:24 acknowledge [1] 17:19 acknowledgement [2] 32:15 35:19 action [2] 4:6 15:17 actually [13] 8:3,5,6 14:2 21:19 24:4 25:9 28:5 31:6, 8 36:1 40:1 44:9 added [2] 7:24 11:12 additional [3] 19:17 22:18 23:16 address [1] 14:23</p>	<p>adjourned [2] 48:24,25 advantage [1] 27:23 affidavits [1] 15:2 afoul [2] 16:8,13 afternoon [1] 46:9 agree [6] 10:8 14:15 19:19 30:17 31:17 43:3 agreed [3] 8:3,8 31:21 agreement [4] 11:11 21:21 33:6 36:13 ahead [1] 30:3 al [1] 4:7 alexander [2] 4:12,15 allegations [11] 7:25 14:21 15:6,12 16:2 17:21 27:1 41:23 42:2 43:5,24 alleged [1] 43:10 allow [1] 44:15 allowed [1] 45:17 allows [2] 13:14 45:14 already [1] 46:12 amended [8] 5:6 11:21 14:21 15:5 16:7 25:5 28:25 40:11 among [1] 38:14 amount [1] 32:14 amplify [1] 21:15 another [3] 5:20 16:20 46:13 answer [2] 7:16,16 antennas [1] 26:20 anticipate [1] 48:8 anxious [1] 39:5 anyway [1] 44:14 anyways [1] 44:24 apply [2] 17:2 37:19 appreciate [4] 7:13 12:22 37:12 42:13 approach [1] 5:24 approached [1] 8:4 appropriate [2] 15:13,20 appropriately [7] 18:2,14 19:15,23 20:17 22:19 28:20 approval [1] 38:8 arbiter [1] 33:16 areas [1] 8:12 aren't [1] 15:23 arguing [1] 41:8 arguments [2] 6:13 44:9</p>	<p>around [4] 21:18 32:25 46:8,19 articulate [1] 36:8 articulated [1] 44:11 aside [2] 15:14 28:7 assist [1] 48:6 attachment [1] 13:14 attempt [1] 24:17 auspices [2] 30:21,23 available [1] 11:15 avoid [1] 46:10</p> <p>B</p> <p>back [9] 10:9 12:11 17:6 25:9,13 39:9 44:14,23,24 ball [1] 13:22 base [1] 29:14 based [3] 7:25 17:21 35:18 basically [13] 7:23 8:7,10, 20 9:16 23:24 25:16,19 27:22,24 32:14 33:1 34:24 basis [2] 11:18 16:19 behalf [2] 4:25 41:9 believe [4] 14:11 17:1 43:7,17 bench [1] 48:14 best [4] 6:9 13:25 15:16 21:19 better [1] 12:7 between [1] 39:13 bit [3] 5:23 39:25 47:18 black [1] 10:21 bls [1] 46:5 bolster [7] 8:14,17 11:14 14:19 35:6,16 39:22 both [4] 15:5 18:12,12 25:19 bottom [2] 11:19 12:4 bound [2] 22:23 36:18 brassard's [1] 42:22 bridge [1] 21:8 bridges [1] 24:9 briefly [1] 14:23 building [1] 46:5 bunch [2] 27:16 42:1 bur [1] 6:14 burden [2] 16:23 45:2 business [2] 22:15 46:3 busy [1] 19:4</p>	<p>C</p> <p>called [1] 4:2 calls [1] 33:9 came [3] 5:2 33:11 34:24 cannot [1] 35:19 careful [2] 5:17 34:22 carroll [10] 4:16,17 7:8 41:9,9 42:7,9,19 44:23,25 case [13] 26:13,21 28:10 30:2 33:17 36:17 38:15 41:23 42:16,20,24 46:1,2 cases [3] 13:16 31:10 46:6 categorical [2] 18:4 21:17 caveat [1] 40:22 ceo [3] 40:25 43:6,18 ceo's [1] 43:19 ceos [1] 13:4 certain [6] 14:14,14 23:2 27:1 46:6 48:15 certainly [1] 12:24 challenge [3] 16:17,20 25:22 challenged [1] 16:18 challenging [1] 16:1 chance [1] 25:9 change [2] 39:13 41:6 characterized [1] 14:18 charities [1] 43:16 check [1] 46:15 check-in [1] 19:9 cherry [1] 24:2 christmas [1] 11:8 circumstances [1] 46:6 cited [1] 42:23 citizens [1] 41:18 civil [3] 4:6 14:5 46:13 claim [3] 42:9,11,12 claims [1] 30:2 clarifying [1] 6:14 claw [1] 25:13 clear [6] 8:15 31:20,25 34:21 35:16 36:3 clerk [8] 4:6 46:15,17,20, 23,25 47:3,5 cohen [3] 16:21 17:2 39:11 cohen's [1] 19:3 come [6] 10:9 11:11 21:8 39:8 44:23 48:9</p>
--	---	--	--

DH Reporting Services, Inc. (781) 575-8000

<p>comes [5] 6:4 23:10,21 24:15 28:25</p> <p>comfortable [1] 10:4</p> <p>coming [4] 20:24 44:24,25 48:8</p> <p>comments [1] 12:22</p> <p>commission [1] 46:21</p> <p>commonwealth [8] 4:7, 11 10:5 19:20,20 41:23,25 42:11</p> <p>commonwealth's [1] 6:16</p> <p>companies [1] 43:17</p> <p>company [1] 41:17</p> <p>compensated [1] 42:17</p> <p>compensation [4] 41:1, 15 42:3 43:20</p> <p>complaint [35] 5:6,8 7:1,3 8:5 9:17 10:13,21 11:4,12, 21,25 13:2,21 14:21,24 15:18 16:7 17:14,19 24:1,8 25:7,8 27:20 29:1 39:21,24 40:11,17 41:13 42:1,4 43:8 44:17</p> <p>complaints [1] 15:5</p> <p>compromised [2] 14:13, 14</p> <p>con [3] 5:13 22:18 31:14</p> <p>concede [1] 18:18</p> <p>concern [4] 5:13,13 7:22 10:20</p> <p>concerned [1] 38:9</p> <p>concerning [1] 43:8</p> <p>conduct [2] 6:16 15:7</p> <p>confer [5] 8:11 15:20 31:16 39:25 47:10</p> <p>conference [2] 17:6 39:10</p> <p>confidential [32] 5:19 16:14 18:2,14 19:15,23 20:18 22:20 23:9,12 25:25,25 28:21 29:15,19 30:20 31:2,7, 10,14,15,22 33:19 34:2 35:6 36:12,16,20 37:1,4 38:10 39:11</p> <p>confidentiality [6] 5:23 16:1 22:11,14,23 25:22</p> <p>conflict [1] 5:24</p> <p>connection [2] 24:10 27:18</p>	<p>considered [1] 26:14</p> <p>consistent [1] 42:21</p> <p>contain [2] 22:18 31:14</p> <p>contained [2] 43:13 45:8</p> <p>contains [1] 15:6</p> <p>contemplated [1] 44:9</p> <p>context [1] 23:21</p> <p>contexts [1] 43:14</p> <p>continue [1] 11:24</p> <p>continuity [1] 46:1</p> <p>control [1] 19:3</p> <p>convinced [1] 21:24</p> <p>cooperatively [1] 11:9</p> <p>copies [1] 15:5</p> <p>correct [5] 9:24 11:22 17:18 40:8 44:5</p> <p>correctly [2] 20:23 39:7</p> <p>couldn't [1] 11:13</p> <p>counsel [7] 4:8 7:13 8:4 15:24 20:6 34:22 36:3</p> <p>country [1] 21:18</p> <p>course [3] 11:4 22:14 40:4</p> <p>court's [6] 4:4 8:16,18 10:19 14:17 15:22</p> <p>courtroom [1] 46:7</p> <p>courts [2] 18:12,12</p> <p>cover [1] 17:8</p> <p>craig [2] 5:4 45:18</p> <p>criminal [1] 46:8</p> <p>cross [2] 21:8 24:9</p> <p>cut [1] 12:15</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">D</p> <hr/> <p>damages [1] 43:21</p> <p>date [2] 20:16 34:14</p> <p>day [5] 19:2 20:16 27:24 46:10,12</p> <p>days [9] 16:18,20 17:6,9 18:25 19:9 39:9 45:21,22</p> <p>de [1] 21:22</p> <p>deal [5] 18:3 19:7 21:4 26:5 48:10</p> <p>dealing [1] 19:5</p> <p>deals [1] 31:12</p> <p>dealt [1] 48:9</p> <p>decide [5] 12:23 20:13 21:22 22:10 28:18</p> <p>decided [1] 33:17</p> <p>decision [1] 42:22</p> <p>decisions [1] 23:2</p>	<p>declared [1] 29:15</p> <p>de-designation [1] 18:7</p> <p>defendant [2] 4:25 13:18</p> <p>defendant's [1] 43:6</p> <p>defendants [14] 4:22,23 5:4 6:24 7:2 13:16 14:25,25 24:4 40:1,25 41:15 43:10 45:18</p> <p>defendants' [1] 15:7</p> <p>defending [1] 6:10</p> <p>defense [3] 10:7 20:6 36:3</p> <p>degree [1] 48:11</p> <p>depending [1] 41:3</p> <p>deposition [1] 24:10</p> <p>depositions [2] 23:22 24:23</p> <p>deprive [1] 42:15</p> <p>designate [1] 36:12</p> <p>designated [12] 5:19 18:2, 14 19:15,23 20:17 22:20 23:8,12 28:21 34:2 38:10</p> <p>designation [2] 16:20 22:23</p> <p>designations [5] 16:1,18, 19,24 17:3</p> <p>desire [2] 5:11 38:23</p> <p>detailed [1] 15:6</p> <p>deter [1] 31:20</p> <p>determination [4] 17:17 26:16 31:25 36:19</p> <p>determine [7] 11:25 17:14 18:13 19:22 30:19 31:7 33:18</p> <p>determined [2] 28:20 31:1</p> <p>determines [3] 19:14 26:8 35:6</p> <p>dialog [1] 25:9</p> <p>didn't [1] 10:4</p> <p>difference [5] 29:21 36:25 37:1,1,11</p> <p>different [15] 5:24 15:23 23:19,24 26:22 27:9,13,15, 21 29:12 30:25 33:15 36:4 38:15,16</p> <p>differently [1] 28:11</p> <p>difficult [1] 20:24</p> <p>difficulty [1] 23:10</p> <p>digging [1] 7:14</p> <p>direct [2] 15:2 35:15</p>	<p>director [1] 4:23</p> <p>dis [1] 7:2</p> <p>disagree [4] 22:4 27:8 39:12 43:13</p> <p>disclose [2] 35:20 41:14</p> <p>disclosure [2] 23:5 31:3</p> <p>discovery [20] 5:19 19:5, 24 22:14,20,24 23:9,12 24:5 26:23 28:21 29:22 30:13 32:22 36:11 37:4,18,18 38:4,11</p> <p>discrete [2] 43:4,24</p> <p>discuss [1] 12:24</p> <p>discussing [1] 45:12</p> <p>discussion [1] 39:17</p> <p>dismiss [2] 15:1 28:6</p> <p>dispute [6] 17:23 18:1 19:18 21:14 32:21 43:20</p> <p>disputes [6] 15:14 18:20 19:5 20:19 26:5 33:5</p> <p>doc [2] 7:25 30:4</p> <p>document [7] 14:1,13 21:24,25 29:12 30:23 31:1</p> <p>documents [25] 5:18 7:25 8:21,23 11:13,15 13:15 14:15 20:17 22:14,19 23:22 24:3 25:14 27:16,17,23 29:15,20 30:1 31:13 32:14 33:1,8,18</p> <p>doing [2] 35:23 42:21</p> <p>domain [1] 41:19</p> <p>don't [35] 6:9,21 9:15 10:19 17:12,23 19:3,16 20:15, 24 21:14,23 22:4 23:20,20 24:7 25:4,8 26:7,7 27:7 28:13 29:7 30:1 34:17 35:2,15, 16 38:11,19 39:2 42:15 43:6 46:17 48:5</p> <p>down [3] 20:24 24:6 28:17</p> <p>dream [1] 18:22</p> <p>during [1] 29:22</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">E</p> <hr/> <p>each [2] 15:6 26:2</p> <p>effects [1] 19:24</p> <p>efficiency [1] 30:3</p> <p>efficient [2] 25:16 30:10</p> <p>either [5] 11:3 14:18 39:2 40:10 46:9</p> <p>element [3] 42:9,10,12</p>
--	---	---	---

DH Reporting Services, Inc. (781) 575-8000

emergency ^[3] 6:3,11 14:19 employees ^[1] 43:15 end ^[3] 26:9 27:23 32:25 enforce ^[1] 8:24 enforcement ^[2] 15:17 43:8 engage ^[1] 15:2 engaged ^[2] 39:25 43:10 enough ^[2] 29:8 47:10 enter ^[1] 22:11 entered ^[3] 14:1,4 31:9 entire ^[2] 5:7 7:1 entry ^[1] 14:3 eric ^[1] 4:11 essentially ^[2] 9:22 23:11 et ^[1] 4:7 even ^[2] 6:11 48:5 event ^[1] 47:1 everybody ^[2] 19:19 47:9 everybody's ^[1] 9:10 everyone ^[1] 33:17 everything ^[1] 19:17 evidence ^[1] 13:25 exactly ^[2] 24:24 30:16 example ^[1] 27:3 exchanged ^[2] 22:21 31:11 executives ^[2] 43:15,16 exhibit ^[5] 42:4,4 43:5,14,25 expect ^[2] 16:3 18:11 expedited ^[1] 11:16 expeditiously ^[2] 15:19 19:8 explain ^[1] 6:17 explained ^[1] 45:4 explaining ^[1] 37:13 explanation ^[2] 9:8 24:11 expressed ^[1] 48:15 expressly ^[1] 13:14 extent ^[8] 8:21,22 14:18 28:25 33:5 45:7,14 48:15 extra ^[1] 47:18 <hr/> F <hr/> face ^[1] 29:4 facilitate ^[3] 22:24 23:9 38:4 fact ^[4] 5:10 8:11 25:16 36:	19 factual ^[1] 15:14 fairly ^[1] 18:11 fairness ^[1] 30:1 faith ^[1] 17:4 falls ^[1] 17:15 faulting ^[2] 32:10,11 favorable ^[1] 17:2 federal ^[9] 5:11,12,22 17:16 19:24 28:19 32:18 34:19 45:6 federal/state ^[1] 6:1 feds ^[2] 34:7 38:24 feel ^[2] 10:4 28:4 feelings ^[1] 38:11 feiner ^[61] 4:10,11 6:5,20 12:13,16,18 13:1,7,9,12,24 14:7,9,11 15:11,13 16:9,11,13,16 17:18 18:1,11,16,18,22 19:1,13 20:2,8,12,15,22 21:2,4,8,16 25:18 32:16 39:7,16,20 40:8,13,16,18,20,22,24 41:3,6 43:3 44:2,5,7 45:22,24 47:8 48:2,21 few ^[4] 6:14 8:4 9:13 13:9 fight ^[2] 21:25 38:24 figure ^[1] 11:10 file ^[11] 8:5 10:5 13:1 17:10 24:18 25:6 33:2 35:2 39:21 40:5,20 filed ^[8] 6:12 10:14 11:4 14:20 30:24 31:17 36:21 45:17 filing ^[18] 7:3 9:17,23 10:13 11:20 13:21 14:24 15:17 19:25 22:22 26:21 27:1 31:13 36:17 37:6 40:10 44:6 45:3 filings ^[2] 5:2 10:22 find ^[1] 36:17 fine ^[2] 12:18 13:8 first ^[8] 5:5 6:22 12:15 16:5 18:13 31:16 36:11 41:7 fits ^[1] 28:13 fly ^[2] 48:10,11 focus ^[1] 8:20 focused ^[1] 44:10 folks ^[1] 35:18 follow ^[1] 23:1 following ^[2] 47:6,7	footnotes ^[1] 17:19 form ^[2] 6:2 31:3 forth ^[1] 25:9 forty ^[1] 33:20 forward ^[4] 15:17,19 16:4 17:12 found ^[1] 37:5 four ^[2] 5:3 48:13 frankly ^[6] 5:9 6:23 22:4 34:4 36:8 48:5 friday ^[6] 46:9,10,12,19,20 47:7 fully ^[1] 9:17 further ^[6] 11:24 41:6 43:19 44:16 45:19,20 <hr/> G <hr/> gaming ^[1] 46:21 gasdia ^[1] 4:25 gave ^[2] 39:24 40:2 general ^[2] 5:7 6:13 generally ^[2] 31:10 38:13 gentleman ^[1] 42:17 gets ^[1] 30:24 getting ^[1] 5:25 gillian ^[4] 12:11 28:5 39:5 43:1 give ^[4] 10:8 20:10 34:6 47:16 given ^[3] 44:15,15 48:13 gives ^[1] 47:9 goal ^[1] 18:12 gold ^[2] 4:12,14 got ^[3] 9:19 14:5 48:12 govern ^[1] 5:14 governed ^[3] 14:16 28:7 35:3 grateful ^[1] 6:6 ground ^[1] 17:8 guess ^[10] 10:12 16:15,16 17:13 19:20 20:10 25:12 34:1,7 44:23 guidance ^[1] 34:17 <hr/> H <hr/> happened ^[3] 13:10,11,12 happens ^[2] 19:8 37:5 hate ^[1] 21:17 he's ^[2] 19:4,13 healthy ^[1] 19:9	hear ^[2] 20:4 28:17 heard ^[2] 27:4 45:10 hearing ^[8] 6:3 11:24 29:8 45:12,19,20 47:2 48:13 hearings ^[1] 44:16 heavily ^[5] 10:2 11:20 14:1,13 26:20 helpful ^[3] 6:3 44:13 48:8 here's ^[7] 7:11,22 22:6,6 23:19 24:2 27:14 hide ^[3] 13:21 26:13 27:1 hiding ^[1] 38:8 highly ^[1] 42:17 holidays ^[1] 47:20 honor ^[38] 4:6,10,17,19,21,24 6:5 7:6,10,13 9:7,14 10:3,6,15 11:5 12:7,18 13:25 14:9 20:22 21:12 23:17 24:16 27:6 28:2 29:18 32:7 33:3 35:23 37:12 39:3 41:9 42:3 44:7,25 45:24 48:21 honorable ^[1] 4:4 hope ^[2] 18:18 19:7 hopeful ^[1] 37:14 hopefully ^[1] 33:10 hoping ^[1] 18:3 hospitals ^[1] 43:16 however ^[3] 22:18 30:2 44:14 huge ^[1] 32:14 <hr/> I <hr/> i'd ^[1] 38:18 i'll ^[2] 30:17 34:16 i'm ^[1] 28:7 i've ^[3] 46:14 48:9,15 identified ^[2] 20:23 48:7 identify ^[1] 4:8 implicated ^[2] 34:10 38:5 important ^[2] 45:6 46:1 impound ^[16] 5:5,7,15 6:12 7:1 13:3,14 14:20 23:13 28:24 31:23 34:3 40:25 41:12 43:3 44:9 impounded ^[3] 5:20 17:11 36:10 impounding ^[2] 5:17 11:23 impoundment ^[19] 5:14,16 20:1 23:2 26:15,17 27:5
---	---	---	---

DH Reporting Services, Inc. (781) 575-8000

<p>31:18,19 36:18 37:7 41:11 43:5,7,8,23 44:10,11,17 inappropriate [1] 14:21 inc [1] 4:7 inclined [2] 12:21 36:6 included [1] 42:3 including [2] 14:15 15:7 indicate [1] 7:2 individual [2] 4:22 14:25 indulge [1] 13:5 information [22] 5:20 7: 15 16:2,15 17:20,21 18:13 23:25 24:20 27:1,4 28:25 31:10 36:9 38:8 41:15 42:3, 10,14,19 43:13 44:12 initial [2] 13:21 14:24 insignificant [1] 15:15 insurance [1] 42:23 intensely [1] 43:14 intent [1] 38:21 inter [1] 37:23 interest [16] 5:2 6:2 8:18 15:16 23:4 26:16,17,19 27: 3 36:21 41:16 42:13 43:9 44:13 45:8,15 interested [1] 38:18 interfering [2] 8:18 38:1 interrogatories [1] 24:23 interrogatory [1] 24:11 interrupting [1] 46:10 involved [2] 17:17 46:11 involvement [1] 15:7 involves [1] 16:14 issue [13] 16:5 17:17 20: 24 21:4 24:15 25:1 29:2 34: 9 35:23 37:20 39:8 40:25 44:21 issued [1] 42:22 issues [10] 8:7 12:23 14: 14,14 16:6 19:17 39:10,16 43:20 48:7 it's [33] 5:18,19 7:14 8:10 16:9 17:12 19:10 23:23 24: 10 25:16 26:22,22 27:11 31:7,20,20 35:6,15,23,24 36:3,9,10,23 37:1 39:8 42: 4,4,9 45:4,6,25 46:16 items [1] 40:2 itself [2] 6:25 42:7</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">J</p> <p>james [2] 4:17 41:9 january [7] 46:13,16,20,25 47:21 48:4,20 jillian [1] 4:11 john [2] 5:4 45:18 joint [1] 14:3 judge [13] 8:14,16 10:9 11: 14 14:7,19 17:16 35:4,16 36:6 39:22 42:21 46:17 judges [1] 46:5 julie [1] 4:25 july [1] 13:20 june [2] 13:21 14:24 jurisdiction [8] 8:16,19 15:1 32:18 43:21 46:2,5,14 justified [1] 26:17 justin [1] 4:22</p> <p style="text-align: center;">K</p> <p>kaplan [2] 14:7,7 kick [1] 31:19 kind [15] 5:12,25 6:1,4 8:13, 20 17:17 20:10 21:15 32:2 34:19 38:16,23 48:7,9 knowing [2] 38:18 42:16</p> <p style="text-align: center;">L</p> <p>lack [1] 15:1 laid [1] 15:21 landau [4] 4:17 5:4 41:10 45:18 largely [1] 10:21 last [10] 5:9 7:5,24 9:19,19 10:14 15:24 18:5 25:20 39: 24 late [1] 8:11 latest [1] 45:16 launch [1] 9:8 law [2] 15:17 43:8 lawsuit [1] 19:24 least [7] 11:15 13:3 18:21 21:9 27:22 43:20 46:12 legal [2] 15:14 38:16 legitimate [1] 41:16 legitimately [1] 31:1 less [2] 17:5,10 let's [2] 10:9 22:19 likely [1] 46:7</p>	<p>limited [4] 5:5 40:25 44:11, 15 line [2] 11:19 12:4 lines [5] 7:3 9:18 10:14 41: 12,14 litigation [10] 16:15 21:18, 20 22:15 23:13 26:10 27: 18 28:20,22 46:3 little [8] 5:23 27:9,15 32:2 37:17 39:25 47:18 48:16 lives [1] 17:11 long [1] 18:25 longer [1] 11:11 look [4] 25:19 30:3 33:9 37: 14 looked [1] 5:22 lose [1] 33:9 lot [15] 7:14,24,25 10:8,21 13:11,12 14:22 17:8 21:18, 21 27:17 29:25 33:10 37: 15</p> <p style="text-align: center;">M</p> <p>made [6] 13:18 22:24,24 41:11,24 42:1 main [1] 41:21 maintained [1] 41:22 many [4] 8:6 17:20 19:5 43: 14 mark [3] 4:20 5:5 45:18 market [1] 43:11 marketing [1] 15:3 mass [1] 4:7 massachusetts [5] 5:15 15:4,8 43:12,15 master [20] 11:15 16:21,21 17:2,16 19:2,3,11,12,14,22 26:5,8,22 28:19 31:2 34:7 38:16 39:10 47:11 material [7] 5:17 16:7 17: 14 31:22 36:17,20 48:12 materials [2] 31:14,15 matter [4] 19:24 26:14,19 46:13 matters [3] 15:15 43:9 46: 8 mdl [30] 6:15 8:1,7,22,25 11:15 13:13,15,19,20 14: 16 15:22,25 16:8,15,21 17: 15,22 18:6,8,15 19:6 20:18</p>	<p>27:23 28:12 29:25 32:1 33: 12,19 38:15 mean [9] 12:20 16:13,22 21:17 26:19 28:23,24 31: 22 34:2 means [1] 44:23 meant [1] 32:11 media [1] 27:3 meet [4] 8:11 15:20 39:25 47:10 members [1] 27:2 memoranda [1] 48:6 memorandum [1] 5:8 memory [1] 14:12 merits [1] 13:3 met [1] 43:7 might [4] 6:22 10:7 12:22 46:10 million [2] 8:21 29:24 millions [2] 27:17 29:24 mindful [1] 10:18 minute [1] 20:6 mischaracterizations [1] 6:11 misconduct [1] 43:11 modest [1] 42:15 moment [3] 13:7 15:15 42: 19 moments [1] 48:14 monkey [1] 38:19 months [2] 24:6 46:4 morning [9] 4:10,13,14,15, 16,19,21,24 5:1 most [3] 15:15,16 36:13 motion [21] 5:4,7 6:3,11, 12,25,25 7:20 13:3,14 14:3, 19,19,20 28:6 40:24 41:12 43:2,3,6 47:2 motions [1] 14:25 motives [1] 28:4 move [6] 8:15 15:19 16:3 17:11,12 31:18 moving [1] 15:17 ms [65] 4:10,24 6:5,20 12: 11,13,16,18 13:1,7,9,12,24 14:7,9,11 15:11,13 16:9,11, 13,16 17:18 18:1,11,16,18, 22 19:1,13 20:2,8,12,15,22 21:2,4,8,16 25:17 28:5 32: 16 39:5,7,16,20 40:8,13,16,</p>
---	--	---	--

DH Reporting Services, Inc. (781) 575-8000

18,20,22,24 41:3,6 43:1,3
 44:2,5,7 45:22,24 47:8 48:
 2,21
much [7] 17:20 21:14 36:4
 39:21 45:11 48:16,21
must [3] 23:13 34:3,25
myself [1] 36:17

N

named [1] 41:22
narrow [4] 8:20 20:18 41:
 12 44:10
narrowed [1] 18:21
narrowly [1] 5:3
nationwide [1] 30:4
nature [3] 31:13 43:4 44:
 15
necessarily [3] 26:9 34:2
 36:23
necessary [2] 15:11,12
need [4] 19:16,16 25:20 42:
 16
needed [1] 11:11
needs [2] 16:5,6
negotiated [2] 14:1,13
neither [1] 25:24
never [4] 8:16 21:19 36:20
 38:23
nevertheless [1] 36:20
new [2] 11:8 40:3
next [6] 10:9 11:8 17:9 23:
 18 34:14 45:12
night [2] 15:24 25:20
nine [3] 24:6 41:12,14
nonparties [1] 45:13
nor [2] 11:4 44:13
normally [1] 23:21
note [1] 25:20
nothing [2] 32:17 40:4
notice [2] 15:24 45:11
notwithstanding [1] 36:
 19
nuisance [1] 42:12
number [1] 8:12

O

object [2] 8:13 10:7
objecting [2] 7:2 10:13
objection [7] 10:25 11:1,4,
 20 25:10 39:22,23

obtain [1] 13:15
obtained [3] 14:15 17:21
 33:6
obtaining [1] 27:4
obviously [8] 6:7 7:14 13:
 17 21:5 26:19 33:4 34:17
 38:17
o'clock [4] 5:3 46:25 48:4,
 13
october [1] 14:11
officer [2] 4:3 48:23
ohio [3] 5:11 34:7 47:11
okay [49] 6:19 9:2 10:24
 11:2,6,17 12:9,11 13:6,23
 14:8,10 17:25 18:10,20,23,
 24 19:14 21:7,10,23 26:3
 28:1 32:22 35:8 37:3 39:19
 40:21,23 41:5 42:6,8,18,25
 44:1,3,8 45:1,1,23 46:18,
 22 47:4,6,15,17,19,24 48:3
once [1] 44:19
one [14] 5:18 13:3 16:6,6
 17:13 18:17 23:11,19 25:
 24 31:17 36:16 40:22 43:
 23 46:12
onerous [1] 43:23
only [12] 24:17 29:9 32:9,
 13,24 36:6,9,10 37:20 41:
 21 42:4 44:22
open [2] 4:4 12:1
operating [1] 16:22
opinion [2] 35:12 37:2
opioids [2] 15:3 43:11
opportunity [3] 24:2 26:1
 37:16
oppose [2] 44:1,3
opposed [1] 36:22
orally [1] 44:3
order [39] 4:2 5:10,22,23 8:
 7,24,25 13:13,20,25 14:16,
 17 15:22,23,25 16:8 17:15
 18:15 20:18 22:17 25:21
 29:13,25 31:8,24,24 32:1
 33:12,16,22 34:24,25 35:3,
 18,19 38:3 44:18,22 45:6
ordered [1] 38:15
orders [7] 15:22 22:11,13,
 15,17 31:10 42:23
ordinarily [1] 26:14
other [9] 5:10 7:20 21:20

24:3 26:2 27:2 31:13 40:1
 44:17
ought [2] 6:17 42:20
out [9] 6:4 11:10,16 15:21
 26:4 29:11 34:24 37:15 38:
 14
outcome [1] 41:3
outweigh [1] 26:16
over [5] 18:4 19:3 20:9 46:
 2,5
overall [1] 22:6
overseeing [1] 26:23

P

page [2] 33:20 41:13
pages [7] 7:24 10:22,22 11:
 12,13 27:17 29:24
paradigm [1] 16:23
paragraph [4] 14:16 15:
 25 31:11,11
part [3] 5:21 36:13,15
particular [6] 21:25 31:11
 41:14 44:4,11,13
particularly [1] 44:12
parties [7] 22:11 30:2 31:
 15,16,21 36:12 38:14
party [8] 22:22,24 23:10,11
 31:18 36:16 37:5,18
paul [1] 42:23
pejorative [1] 23:20
pending [1] 45:19
people [4] 23:8 24:11 25:4
 41:17
per [2] 5:18 18:14
perhaps [2] 17:9 37:1
period [1] 7:15
person [1] 42:1
personal [3] 15:1 33:3 43:
 14
personally [1] 43:10
persons [1] 41:22
persuasion [1] 16:23
pharma [1] 4:7
picked [1] 24:2
piece [1] 6:18
pieces [2] 41:12,14
place [4] 17:5 25:18 34:14
 43:4
plaintiff [1] 13:18
plaintiffs [2] 9:16 13:15

planning [1] 11:7
pleadings [1] 31:13
please [2] 4:5,9
point [5] 26:6 27:2 29:9 32:
 13,24
points [2] 6:14 18:19
politicians [1] 41:19
porter [2] 4:24,25
portion [1] 5:5
portions [3] 11:25 19:19
 44:17
position [4] 9:10 18:4 43:
 1,18
positions [1] 25:24
possible [1] 39:8
potential [1] 43:5
practical [2] 7:18 21:6
premature [1] 19:22
prepared [1] 21:5
present [1] 7:4
presented [4] 7:4 9:18,25
 40:6
presumably [1] 34:12
presumptuous [1] 10:19
pretty [5] 6:8 18:4 19:4 43:
 23 45:11
preventing [1] 23:10
preview [2] 20:10 28:15
prior [1] 27:18
privacy [1] 41:16
private [3] 26:16 41:17,17
pro [1] 22:13
probably [4] 19:4 25:24
 27:17 32:15
problem [2] 22:6 23:6
procedure [2] 5:15 28:14
proceed [2] 6:17 38:17
proceeding [2] 5:21 28:
 14
proceedings [1] 38:20
process [22] 11:14 15:20
 16:3,17 17:1,22 18:7 19:2
 20:20 21:20 25:18 29:10
 31:16 32:12 33:6,19 35:22
 36:11,16 37:2 38:17 39:25
processes [1] 15:21
produce [1] 23:22
produced [8] 5:18 8:22,25
 13:15 16:15 18:6 27:18 29:
 22

DH Reporting Services, Inc. (781) 575-8000

product ^[1] 18:5 productions ^[1] 13:19 profited ^[1] 43:11 progress ^[1] 17:7 promotion ^[1] 15:3 proposal ^[4] 6:4 7:12,18 12:20 propose ^[2] 6:17 45:22 proposed ^[2] 8:9,12 proposing ^[1] 6:24 propriety ^[1] 16:24 protect ^[2] 22:13 36:6 protectable ^[1] 36:5 protecting ^[1] 31:3 protections ^[1] 29:21 protective ^[22] 8:23,25 13:13,20,25 14:16,17 15:25 22:13 25:21 29:13,25 31:8,9,24 33:12,22 34:25 35:3,19 38:3 42:23 prove ^[2] 42:11,12 provided ^[1] 27:19 provision ^[3] 16:3 22:19 32:2 provisional ^[2] 44:18,22 provisionally ^[1] 11:23 public ^[38] 5:21 12:1 15:16 19:25 22:22 23:4,13 26:13,15,17,19,21,25 27:2,3 31:3 36:17,21 37:5 38:8 40:5,10 41:18,19,22,25 42:12,13,15 43:9,15,15,16 44:13 45:7,8,9,15 publically ^[4] 24:18 31:12 36:21 43:16 publicity ^[1] 41:20 purdue ^[8] 4:7,20 6:12 13:18,24 14:2 39:23 40:1 purdue's ^[3] 13:14 15:3,24 purposes ^[8] 19:23 23:12,13 26:9 28:21 30:11 37:4 38:10 pursuant ^[6] 14:15 15:25 18:14 25:21 33:6,11 pursue ^[1] 6:18 push ^[1] 41:18 put ^[8] 20:16 23:25 24:8 28:7 38:7 42:1 46:9 48:18 putting ^[2] 15:14 23:25	puzzled ^[1] 19:21 <hr/> Q <hr/> quarrel ^[2] 5:12 34:20 questions ^[1] 7:17 quickly ^[2] 8:15 25:1 quite ^[5] 5:9,19 31:20 43:4 48:8 <hr/> R <hr/> rather ^[1] 21:25 re ^[1] 8:7 reach ^[1] 21:21 read ^[2] 5:2 6:2 reading ^[1] 6:23 ready ^[2] 15:20,21 real ^[1] 6:8 reality ^[1] 21:17 really ^[12] 6:23 8:15 17:23 18:12 21:14 25:10 27:7 29:6,9 32:13,23 48:16 reason ^[5] 8:5 16:25 36:8 45:3,5 reasonable ^[1] 21:5 reasons ^[1] 44:11 receive ^[1] 44:4 recollection ^[1] 21:19 reconsidering ^[1] 10:23 record ^[2] 4:9 26:15 redact ^[1] 26:20 redacted ^[29] 7:3 8:5 9:17,17 10:1,2,5,13 11:3,11,20,25 13:1 15:18 16:2 17:5,10,14 36:22 39:21,24 40:11,11,15 41:4 42:20 45:4,5,16 redactions ^[8] 10:22 11:10 28:19 31:17 42:15 44:20 45:7,10 referring ^[1] 4:23 regarding ^[3] 5:14 15:6 22:19 regardless ^[1] 26:13 regular ^[1] 14:5 rejected ^[1] 8:10 relate ^[1] 16:2 relationship ^[1] 6:16 relevant ^[2] 42:10,14 rely ^[1] 21:23 relying ^[1] 18:6 remain ^[1] 15:21	remains ^[1] 5:13 repackages ^[1] 6:13 replace ^[2] 40:3,4 reply ^[1] 39:6 report ^[1] 17:6 representative ^[1] 45:9 request ^[6] 26:12,20,25 29:23 44:15 45:17 requested ^[1] 44:21 require ^[1] 46:8 requires ^[1] 8:7 resolve ^[1] 11:16 resolved ^[2] 16:6 19:18 resolving ^[1] 5:25 respect ^[3] 16:24 42:22 45:10 respectfully ^[2] 41:25 42:20 respond ^[3] 16:19 24:4 28:5 response ^[1] 29:23 responses ^[1] 24:11 responsive ^[1] 30:2 retain ^[2] 46:2,5 retained ^[1] 46:14 retrospect ^[1] 21:24 review ^[2] 6:7 11:5 reviewed ^[1] 43:6 revised ^[1] 48:18 revisit ^[1] 44:21 rise ^[2] 4:3 48:23 road ^[3] 20:24 24:6 28:17 routinely ^[1] 22:15 rule ^[2] 45:14 47:3 rules ^[13] 5:14,15,15 20:1 23:1 26:15 27:5 28:8 31:19 36:18 37:7,19 41:11 runs ^[2] 16:7,11 russell ^[1] 4:25 <hr/> S <hr/> saint ^[1] 42:23 salary ^[2] 43:13,14 sale ^[1] 43:11 same ^[2] 6:13 18:12 sanders ^[1] 36:6 sandy ^[1] 4:12 saw ^[3] 7:24 8:17 32:15 saying ^[9] 10:12 17:13 18:9,24 20:14 24:25 25:15 28:	7 40:14 says ^[4] 6:25 25:18 34:24 36:3 schedule ^[2] 19:4 46:8 scheduled ^[2] 46:13,24 scope ^[2] 17:15 44:20 seated ^[1] 4:5 second ^[1] 36:15 secrets ^[2] 36:7,10 section ^[1] 46:4 see ^[11] 5:22 17:4 20:16 24:7 35:15 36:10 39:2 44:25 45:7 46:17 48:20 seeking ^[4] 23:25 25:13 28:19 41:20 seeks ^[2] 36:16 37:5 seem ^[2] 32:1 39:5 seems ^[2] 7:1 30:25 sense ^[3] 6:22 20:25 39:20 sent ^[2] 7:4 15:24 sentences ^[1] 9:13 separate ^[1] 31:25 serve ^[1] 44:13 served ^[1] 14:25 serves ^[2] 14:12 37:18 session ^[4] 14:5 22:16 46:4 48:4 set ^[2] 39:9 43:24 seven ^[2] 16:18,20 shall ^[1] 31:16 short ^[2] 6:7 7:15 show ^[1] 17:20 sic ^[3] 12:11 39:5 43:1 sides ^[1] 25:19 sign ^[1] 22:15 signed ^[5] 13:20 22:17 32:16 35:18 38:4 significant ^[1] 43:9 significantly ^[1] 20:19 simplify ^[1] 43:5 simply ^[1] 40:6 since ^[3] 12:14 39:24 43:17 sit ^[1] 46:3 situation ^[6] 8:14 23:24 24:7 27:9,21 37:17 six ^[2] 24:5 46:4 size ^[1] 39:17 somebody ^[2] 24:8 30:19 someone ^[2] 33:1,16
--	--	--	---

DH Reporting Services, Inc. (781) 575-8000

<p>somewhere ^[1] 29:19</p> <p>sorry ^[3] 7:21 9:2 21:12</p> <p>sort ^[9] 6:9,12,15 17:11 19:1,3 20:23 39:17 40:22</p> <p>speaking ^[2] 12:14 38:13</p> <p>special ^[21] 11:15 16:21, 21 17:2,16,16 19:2,3,11,12, 14,22 26:5,8 28:19 30:22 31:2 34:6 38:16 39:10 47:10</p> <p>specific ^[2] 22:1 42:19</p> <p>specifically ^[1] 31:12</p> <p>speculate ^[1] 21:2</p> <p>spend ^[1] 14:22</p> <p>spent ^[1] 6:9</p> <p>stand ^[2] 12:14 43:22</p> <p>standard ^[3] 17:1 43:7,23</p> <p>start ^[1] 12:14</p> <p>state ^[19] 9:16 11:9 13:15, 17 19:25 22:17 23:24 26:10 27:18,22 29:23 31:24 34:19,19,24,25 36:4 37:7 43:19</p> <p>status ^[3] 17:6 20:16 39:9</p> <p>step ^[5] 17:13 18:17 20:20 23:16,19</p> <p>stepped ^[1] 48:14</p> <p>steps ^[1] 37:2</p> <p>stewart ^[4] 4:17 5:4 41:10 45:18</p> <p>still ^[5] 5:13 10:13 16:2 19:21 39:11</p> <p>stipulate ^[1] 42:17</p> <p>story ^[1] 26:9</p> <p>strategic ^[1] 28:4</p> <p>strong ^[2] 38:11 42:13</p> <p>struggle ^[1] 6:1</p> <p>subject ^[3] 32:17 33:11 48:18</p> <p>submit ^[1] 42:20</p> <p>submitted ^[1] 14:2</p> <p>substantially ^[1] 18:21</p> <p>suggest ^[3] 32:9 47:12,13</p> <p>suggesting ^[1] 25:17</p> <p>suggestion ^[1] 21:9</p> <p>support ^[1] 5:8</p> <p>swearing ^[1] 15:2</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">T</p> <hr/> <p>tailored ^[1] 5:4</p>	<p>tens ^[1] 29:24</p> <p>terms ^[3] 18:15 19:25 44:10</p> <p>that's ^[37] 12:16,20,20,21, 23 13:8 18:2 20:3,22 22:1 23:14,24 24:2 25:9 26:1 28:12,13 29:2 30:10,20 32:23 35:3,10,21,22,25 36:13 37:9,11 38:8,9 39:19 41:25 43:22 46:20,22,24</p> <p>themselves ^[3] 4:8 32:17 41:18</p> <p>there's ^[30] 5:24,25 8:5,21 11:13 17:14 19:1,16 21:14, 18 23:4 24:1,17 25:18 26:12,20 28:4 31:19,23 32:13, 15,15,19 33:20 36:11,15 40:4,10 44:8 45:14</p> <p>therefore ^[1] 28:24</p> <p>they'll ^[1] 18:18</p> <p>they're ^[8] 18:1 25:25 30:4 33:19 35:2 41:19 45:11 48:18</p> <p>they've ^[4] 21:24 25:19 41:21 48:15</p> <p>thinking ^[1] 45:21</p> <p>thinks ^[1] 39:11</p> <p>thoughts ^[1] 48:15</p> <p>throw ^[1] 38:19</p> <p>timney ^[5] 4:18 5:5 41:10 43:17 45:18</p> <p>today ^[9] 6:9 9:11 10:10 12:24 13:2 15:18 20:13,25 22:10</p> <p>together ^[3] 14:2 15:1 32:6</p> <p>took ^[1] 27:23</p> <p>totally ^[1] 28:13</p> <p>trade ^[2] 36:6,10</p> <p>traded ^[1] 43:17</p> <p>transcript ^[6] 6:2,24 7:1 8:17 36:2 48:13</p> <p>transferred ^[1] 14:5</p> <p>transparency ^[1] 42:14</p> <p>treated ^[2] 18:11 28:10</p> <p>trial ^[1] 46:10</p> <p>try ^[3] 11:10 26:4 46:9</p> <p>trying ^[4] 9:4 10:18 28:8 36:8</p> <p>turn ^[2] 20:9 41:7</p>	<p>turns ^[1] 20:7</p> <p>two ^[5] 11:8 20:20 43:20 46:25 48:4</p> <p>typical ^[1] 31:9</p> <p>typically ^[2] 21:21 22:18</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">U</p> <hr/> <p>ulterior ^[1] 28:4</p> <p>ultimately ^[6] 12:23 28:15, 18 30:24 33:11 38:9</p> <p>un ^[1] 40:10</p> <p>unclear ^[2] 20:3 32:2</p> <p>under ^[8] 16:3,22 19:25 20:18 26:15 27:5 30:20 41:11</p> <p>understand ^[19] 5:10 9:15,25 10:20 23:17 27:6 28:3,10,13,22 29:5,6 34:5,25 36:24 37:8 39:8,22 44:20</p> <p>understanding ^[2] 9:16 22:21</p> <p>understood ^[1] 40:6</p> <p>undisputedly ^[2] 31:14, 21</p> <p>unfolding ^[1] 6:8</p> <p>uniform ^[6] 5:15 19:25 23:1 31:19 36:18 41:11</p> <p>universe ^[2] 39:14 44:19</p> <p>unlawful ^[1] 15:7</p> <p>unless ^[1] 31:16</p> <p>unpack ^[1] 13:9</p> <p>un-redact ^[1] 40:2</p> <p>unredacted ^[5] 9:19 10:8 11:5,24 17:10</p> <p>until ^[1] 8:9</p> <p>unusual ^[2] 25:1 37:17</p> <p>up ^[7] 8:9 12:14 23:21 26:20 45:14 48:8,9</p> <p>updated ^[1] 40:14</p> <hr/> <p style="text-align: center;">V</p> <hr/> <p>various ^[1] 15:22</p> <p>version ^[18] 9:20 10:2,5 11:5,24 13:1 17:10,10 40:3, 3,11,11,15 41:4 45:4,6,16, 16</p> <p>view ^[10] 12:1 15:11 26:14 27:22 33:3 34:3 36:4,9,25 38:16</p> <p>views ^[1] 36:9</p>	<p style="text-align: center;">W</p> <hr/> <p>wants ^[3] 22:22 24:8 38:4</p> <p>warrant ^[1] 46:6</p> <p>way ^[12] 5:25 8:18 14:4,22 16:7 23:20 25:3 28:25 32:12 33:18 41:21 48:19</p> <p>ways ^[1] 31:3</p> <p>we'd ^[2] 21:24 42:17</p> <p>we'll ^[8] 4:22 21:8 29:11 30:24 39:14,16 46:7 48:20</p> <p>we're ^[24] 7:22 8:14 13:17 16:22,22,25 17:4 18:3,6 20:7 21:5 25:13,13,15,16 28:17 32:8 33:17 35:22 44:14, 16 45:11,21 48:12</p> <p>we've ^[9] 8:3,3,8,9 15:20 21:19 33:17 43:10 48:7</p> <p>weeds ^[1] 27:25</p> <p>week ^[10] 7:5,24 9:19,19 10:9,14 11:8 18:5 39:24 47:6</p> <p>weren't ^[1] 29:23</p> <p>what's ^[4] 36:25 43:13 47:1,1</p> <p>whatever ^[5] 19:8 20:20 23:8 24:22 26:8</p> <p>whenever ^[1] 39:9</p> <p>whereby ^[1] 16:17</p> <p>whether ^[10] 16:7 17:14 18:1,13 20:17 23:4 30:19 33:18 35:24 36:19</p> <p>whole ^[1] 44:19</p> <p>will ^[16] 16:17 19:18 23:18 24:14 26:5,7 29:10,10 31:23 32:16,17 33:4 37:14 39:23 46:8 48:6</p> <p>willing ^[1] 17:4</p> <p>win ^[1] 33:9</p> <p>wisconsin ^[1] 30:9</p> <p>wishes ^[1] 45:9</p> <p>within ^[1] 17:15</p> <p>without ^[2] 5:25 31:17</p> <p>wolosz ^[2] 4:21,22</p> <p>words ^[2] 7:20 21:20</p> <p>work ^[14] 8:6 11:9,16 17:4 26:4 28:12 29:11,21 30:25 32:8 35:14,22 37:15 41:17</p> <p>worked ^[1] 38:13</p> <p>works ^[2] 21:21 32:12</p>
---	---	--	--

DH Reporting Services, Inc. (781) 575-8000

wrench ^[1] 38:20

wrinkle ^[1] 28:12

written ^[1] 18:5

wrote ^[1] 25:20

Y

year's ^[1] 11:8

yesterday ^[10] 5:3 6:8 8:

11 13:10,11,12 14:20 35:

21 40:1 48:12

you'd ^[1] 40:19

you'll ^[1] 34:16

you're ^[10] 10:12 12:6 17:

13 18:24 20:14 24:25 30:

18 32:7 40:14 44:24

you've ^[2] 20:23 29:8